New York Performance Standards Consortium Performance Assessment: Literary Analysis

Circle one: Written Oral

Circle one: Teacher External Evaluator

udent	
tle /Texts	
	_
raluator (Print name)	
and to (i internatio)	_

\_Date\_

**Overall Holistic evaluation** 

Performance Indicator	Outstanding	Good	Competent	Needs Revision
Organization	Generates a clear thesis or central idea that makes a compelling point Uses relevant, convincing evidence and quotations that thoroughly support thesis or central idea Makes explicit and elegant transitions from one idea to next, developing thesis or central idea effortlessly	Generates a clear thesis or central idea that make an interesting point  Uses relevant evidence and quotations that support central thesis or central idea  Makes seamless transitions, flows easily from one idea to the next, developing thesis or central idea cohesively	Has an identifiable thesis or central idea, though may lack focus at times     Uses mostly relevant evidence and quotations to support thesis or central idea     Has mostly coherent organization     Uses transitions but may lack smooth flow from one idea to the next	Has a central idea, but vague, unfocused, and undeveloped     Unfocused organization     Insufficient, irrelevant, or no evidence used to support a central idea     Few or incorrect use of transitions so ideas do not flow smoothly
Analysis & Interpretation	Provides deep insight and creates meaningful interpretation of text(s) Islaborates and extends thesis or central idea and meaning of supporting evidence; answers question, So what? May consider author's language, craft, and/or choice of genre	Creates meaningful interpretation of text(s) Explores thesis or central idea and meaning of supporting evidence; answers question, So what? May consider author's language, craft, and/or choice of genre	Provides basic interpretation of text(s) though somewhat limited exploration of meaning  Develops a thesis or central idea and explains choice of evidence and quotations, but has not fully developed their meaning	Limited or no meaningful interpretation of texts     Uses faulty analysis or merely summarizes     Insufficient or no use of evidence or appropriate quotations
Style and Voice	Evidence of passion for subject or deep curiosity     Writer willing to take risks     Displays intellectual engagement     Creative, clear, and appropriate use of language and word choice based on the task	Evidence of a mind at work, grappling with ideas     Clear and appropriate use of language and word choice based on the task	Responds to the question asked and communicates ideas clearly     Shows some awareness of appropriate language and word choice based on the task	Responds to question asked but lacks clarity Shows little or no evidence of formal or appropriate use of language and word choice
Connections	Makes innovative and insightful connection between a text and one of the following:      A developed theme or     Another work of literature or     Historical/cultural context or     Biographical context or     Film version of text or     Substantial criticism or     Creative element (e.g.,     writing of poetry based on     poet being analyzed)	Makes insightful connection between text and one of the following:      A developed theme or     Another work of literature or     Historical/cultural context or     Biographical context or     Film version of text or     Substantial criticism or     Creative element (e.g., writing a poem based on poet being analyzed)	Establishes some connection between text and one of the following:      A developed theme or     Another work of literature or     Historical or cultural context or     Biographical context or     Film version of text or     Substantial criticism or     Creative element (e.g.,     writing a poem based     on poet being analyzed)	Connection is attempted, but it is inappropriate or not relevant to thesis or ideas that are the main focus of the paper
Conventions (for writing assignment only)	Mechanical and grammatical errors are rare or non-existent; follows accepted conventions for quotations and citations	• Few mechanical or grammatical errors; follows accepted conventions for quotations and citations	Some mechanical or grammatical errors but communication is not impaired; demonstrates knowledge of accepted conventions for quotations	Communication is impaired by errors; little or no use of conventions for quotations and citations
Presentation (for oral component only)	Able to respond to questions and expand on ideas during discussion; communicates ideas clearly in appropriate, sophisticated, and original way to audience; presents complex, accurate, substantive ideas and information clearly	Able to respond to questions and expand somewhat on ideas during discussion; communicates clearly in appropriate and original way to audience; presents accurate, substantive ideas and information clearly	Able to respond accurately to questions though may have difficulty expanding on ideas; communicates clearly in appropriate way to audience; presents information accurately	Does not respond well to questions during discussion; unclear or inappropriate presentation to audience; some information presented may be inaccurate

Signature\_

